

EXHIBIT 63

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA (Las Vegas)
3

4 In the matter of:

5 ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;
6 ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware Corporation;
7 and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
8 a California corporation,

9 Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL

10 v.

11
12 RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation; and
13 SETH RAVIN, an individual,
14 Defendants.
15

16
17 Lloyd D. George U.S. Courthouse
18 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South
19 Las Vegas, NV
20 November 8, 2011
21 9:00 AM
22

23 B E F O R E:

24 HON. MAGISTRATE PEGGY A. LEEN
25 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

**CERTIFIED
TRANSCRIPT**

Page 1

1 it. And that's why we put it on our rest
2 interrogatory response. And that's how
3 this type of discovery of (indiscernible)
4 works. And they're free to rely on it
5 that this is what happened. This
6 represents a use of this software in a
7 way that -- reflected by the documents
8 that we --

9 THE COURT: Was Ms. Williams in her
10 individual capacity or as the
11 corporation's Rule 30(b)(6) designee?

12 MR. RECKERS: In her individual
13 capacity.

14 THE COURT: And do you intend --
15 your response to their request for an
16 order compelling them a fuller -- your
17 other answer says you intend to
18 supplement the interrogatory responses
19 with her testimony.

20 MR. RECKERS: Yes.

21 THE COURT: And you say you intend
22 to be bound by what she has testified
23 about.

24 MR. RECKERS: Yes.

25 THE COURT: Is there anyone in the

1 corporation that has superior knowledge
2 to Ms. Williams about this issue?

3 MR. RECKERS: No. And so that's one
4 of the reasons we put it in our brief.
5 And the deposition transcript just went
6 final. So I think that with her
7 testimony -- she was deposed, obviously,
8 on this important issue at some length.

9 THE COURT: You're telling me that
10 this is the best answer that your
11 corporation can provide because she is
12 the most knowledgeable person and she has
13 what level of detail the corporation has.

14 MR. RECKERS: Absolutely.

15 THE COURT: And you're bound by
16 that.

17 MR. RECKERS: Yes, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: And you're not going to
19 claim that she's incorrect?

20 MR. RECKERS: If we -- we're going
21 to put the citations down and we're going
22 to review the citations and put the ones
23 down that the corporation was bound by.
24 And as I stand here today, my general
25 understanding is that she's correct and

1 that is the corporation's answers to
2 these questions.

3 THE COURT: And how soon are you
4 going to be in a position to supplement
5 your response with her testimony that's
6 now the corporation's answer?

7 MR. RECKERS: It certainly can be
8 served within the next ten days.

9 THE COURT: Thank you. Oracle's
10 motion to compel omitted responses to
11 interrogatories number 24 and 25 is
12 granted to the extent that Rimini shall
13 supplement answers to Interrogatory
14 number 24 and 25 with the information
15 provided with Ms. Williams clarifying
16 that it is the corporation's response and
17 within fourteen days of today's date and
18 denied in all other respects.

19 I'll hear from you on your motion to
20 compel "Read-Only" access to Rimini's
21 SharePoint internet.

22 MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
23 Geoff Howard. With respect to the
24 SharePoint materials, I don't think
25 there's any dispute that t they are

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Lisa Bar-Leib, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of the sound recorded proceedings submitted for transcription.

I further certify that I am not employed by nor related to any party to this action.

In witness whereof, I hereby sign this date:
November 14, 2011.

_____

LISA BAR-LEIB

AAERT Certified Transcriber (CET**D-486)